



KNOWLEDGE OF MICROBIAL CONTAMINATION OF SPHYGMOMANOMETERS IN HEALTHCARE FACILITIES IN BENIN CITY

^{*1}Okaekwu, A. E., ²Usifoh, S. F. & ³Babaiwa, U. F.

^{*1&2}Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacy Practice, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

³Department of Pharmaceutical Microbiology, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author's E-mail: okaekwuagnes@gmail.com Phone: +2349057778016

ABSTRACT

Nosocomial infections are infections that patients acquire while receiving treatment for other health conditions within a healthcare setting or facility. This study aims to determine the level of awareness of healthcare providers on the role sphygmomanometers play in the spread of nosocomial infections and to isolate microorganisms in sphygmomanometer cuffs used in healthcare facilities. A structured, self-administered questionnaire was designed and administered to healthcare practitioners of two tertiary hospitals and community pharmacies in Benin City. Microbial contamination of sphygmomanometer cuffs was investigated following the standard isolation and identification techniques for microorganisms. A total of 217 responded; 27.2% pharmacists, 33.2% doctors and 39.6% nurses. The majority (50.2%) were between the ages of 20 – 30 years. 65.4% were females and 51.6% were single. Ninety-four percent (94%) of the total respondents said that microorganisms are present in the inner cuffs of sphygmomanometers, 76% said microorganisms on the cuffs are sources of nosocomial infections while 80.6% said patients can be infected with the use of sphygmomanometers. A total of 192 swabbed samples were collected from 64 cuffs in the healthcare facilities, 46.5% were bacteria and 53.5% fungi. The most isolated organisms were candida species 42(21%), *Staphylococcus aureus* 41(20.5% of which 28(68.3%) were methicillin resistant.), *Mucor* 34(17%), *Aspergillus* species 23(11.5%). Ninety four percent (94%) of respondents had good knowledge that blood pressure cuffs play a role in the spread of nosocomial infections. The sphygmomanometer cuffs were contaminated with pathogenic microorganisms implicated in nosocomial infections.

KEYWORDS: *Sphygmomanometers, blood pressure cuffs, Nosocomial infections, Healthcare Associated infections, Hospital acquired infections, Healthcare acquired infections, Healthcare acquired illness.*

LICENSE: This article by African Journal of Health, Safety and Environment (AJHSE) is licensed and published under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided this article is duly cited.

COPYRIGHT: The Author(s) completely retain the copyright of this published article.

OPEN ACCESS: The Author(s) approves that this article remains permanently online in the open access (OA) model

QA: This Article is published in line with "COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics) and PIE (Publication Integrity & Ethics)".

INTRODUCTION

Nosocomial infections or healthcare associated infections are infections that patients acquire while receiving treatment for other health conditions within a healthcare setting or facility. They are usually not present in the patient at the time of admission to the healthcare facility (Azeez-Akande, 2012; Hassan *et al.*, 2017). Bacteria account for most cases of nosocomial infections (often 80% of all cases). However, fungi, viruses and parasites, are also causative organisms (Hassan *et al.*, 2017).

Nosocomial infections can be spread in a number of ways; through direct or indirect contact, droplet, airborne, common vehicles or vector-borne. Transmission through the sphygmomanometers is by indirect contact with the patients' skin where the organisms can then move to other sites of the body to cause harm.

Sphygmomanometers are used on multiple patients in medical facilities, and during each use microorganisms can settle on the cuffs of the sphygmomanometers which can spread from one patient to another since their routine disinfection is not always performed (Matsuo *et al.*, 2013)

The objectives of this study were to determine the knowledge of healthcare providers on the role sphygmomanometers play in the spread of nosocomial infections and to isolate microorganisms in sphygmomanometer cuffs used in healthcare facilities.

While many studies have been carried out on the microbial contamination of sphygmomanometers used on patients in hospital settings in developed and developing countries (United Kingdom, Ethiopia, India), not much has been done particularly in Nigeria. No work has also been done in community settings like the community pharmacies in Nigeria. This research work did not only consider the bacterial isolation but also the fungal isolation of the sphygmomanometers used in both hospital and community settings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

STUDY DESIGN

This was a cross-sectional study conducted in major health facilities in Benin City. Sampling places included Central Hospital, University of Benin Teaching Hospital, and randomly selected and highly busy Community Pharmacies from the four Local Government Areas in Benin City (Egor, Ovia, Oredo and Ikpoba-Okha). The sample size from the hospital was based on the number of sphygmomanometers available in the various units visited.

DATA COLLECTION

A structured, self-administered questionnaire was designed and administered to healthcare practitioners (pharmacists, doctors and nurses) of two tertiary hospitals and community pharmacies in Benin City. The questionnaire consisted of three segments; sociodemographics (age, marital status, religion, profession and years of experience), knowledge of microbial presence on the blood pressure cuffs of sphygmomanometers and disinfection practice by the healthcare practitioners. Data generated were both quantitative and qualitative and analyzed using SPSS version 22 and presented using descriptive statistics such as frequencies and percentages of the identified organisms. Differences between the proportions were assessed using Chi square analysis. P-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Ethical approval was obtained from Central Hospital Benin City (A732/T/1), University of Benin Teaching hospital (ADM/E 22/A/VOL II/14775) and administrative approval from the pharmacies.

SAMPLE COLLECTION

Three sterile swab sticks were used for each sphygmomanometer and before being used, were moistened with 0.9% normal saline. The first two sticks were used to wipe the inner surface (the part that comes in direct contact with the patient's upper arm) and the outer surface (the part the healthcare provider touches while handling the blood pressure cuffs) of the sphygmomanometer cuffs respectively. The third sterile swab stick was used to wipe the inner surface again, but this time, after disinfecting it with 70% isopropyl alcohol and allowed to stand for 10 minutes, following slightly modified method of Jeyakumari *et al.* (2016). All swabbed samples were immediately transported to the Pharmaceutical Microbiology Laboratory of the University of Benin, Benin City for analysis.

Serial dilutions of the swabbed samples were carried out by aseptically transferring the swab sticks into 10ml diluent (sterile distilled water) and subsequently inoculated into 1: 10, 1: 100, and 1: 1000 diluents. The dilutions were inoculated on already set nutrient agar (Titan Biotech Limited, India) plates using Miles and Misra method allowed to diffuse and then incubated at 37 degrees Celsius for 48 hours.

For the fungi isolation, the dilutions were transferred into Petri dishes and molten potato dextrose agar (Titan Biotech Limited, India) was poured on each plate using the pour plate method, allowed to set and then incubated at room temperature for 48 hours. After 48 hours, the plates were observed for growth, the colonies were counted and the results recorded. Gram staining was done and based on the Gram stain reaction, the following biochemical tests; catalase, slide and tube coagulase, oxidase, citrate, indole, sugar and hemolytic tests were performed to identify the bacteria isolates while the fungi isolates were identified using the Atlas (Bernward and Gabriele 1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC DATA

The Cronbach's Alpha of reliability of the questionnaire was 0.650. A total of 217 respondents (pharmacists, doctors, and nurses) filled the questionnaire; 27.2% were pharmacists, 33.2% were doctors and 39.6% nurses. Majority of the respondents, 50.2% were between the ages of 20 – 30 years, 65.4% were females and 51.6% were single. Almost all 95.4% were Christians and more than an average of the respondents 126 (58.1%) had less than 5 years working experience, 44(20.3%) had between 6-10years experience, 22(10.1%) 11-15years experience, 12(5.5%) had 16-20 experience and 13(6.0%) had over 20years experience.(Table 1)

Table 1: Socio demographic data of Respondents

Variable	Frequency (n = 217)	Percentage
Age		
20 – 30	109	50.2
31 – 40	61	28.1
41 – 50	36	16.6
51 and above	11	5.1
Gender		
Male	75	34.6
Female	142	65.4
Marital status		
Single	112	51.6
Married	101	46.5
Divorced	1	0.5
Widowed	3	1.4
Religion		
Christian	207	95.4
Muslim	9	4.1
Traditional religion	1	0.5
Profession		
Doctors	72	33.2
Nurses	86	39.6
Years of Experience		
< 5 years	126	58.1
6 – 10	44	20.3
11 – 15	22	10.1
16 – 20	12	5.5
>20 years	13	6.0

KNOWLEDGE OF MICROBIAL CONTAMINATION OF SPHYGMOMANOMETERS FROM THE THREE HEALTHCARE FACILITIES

Ninety four percent (94%) of the respondents said that microorganisms were present in the inner cuffs of sphygmomanometers, (76%) said that microorganisms on the cuffs of sphygmomanometers were sources of nosocomial infections and (80.6%) said that patients can be infected with the use of sphygmomanometers

On the measures to reducing the microbial loads on the BP cuffs of sphygmomanometers, 87.6% of the respondents said that disinfecting the patient's upper arm before using the sphygmomanometer can reduce microbial load, 88.0% said disinfecting the inner surface of the blood pressure can reduce the microbial load, while 95.9% said wearing of hand gloves by healthcare practitioners and then 76.0% said placing a thin sterile physical barrier between patients' upper arms and the BP cuffs, can reduce microbial load and transmission of nosocomial infection (Table 2).

Table 2: Knowledge of Microbial contamination of sphygmomanometers from the three health facilities

Variable	YES F (%)	NO F (%)
Microorganisms are present in the inner cuffs of sphygmomanometers	204 (94)	15 (6)
Microorganisms on the cuffs of sphygmomanometers are sources of nosocomial infections	165 (76)	52 (24)
Patients can be infected with the use of sphygmomanometers	175 (80.6)	42 (19.4)
Microorganisms from the inner layer of the BP cuffs of sphygmomanometers are solely from patients	72 (33.2)	145 (66.8)
Microorganisms from the outer layer of the BP cuffs of sphygmomanometers are solely from the healthcare	31 (14.3)	186 (85.7)
Microorganisms from the outer layer of the BP cuffs can be introduced by the healthcare practitioner	169 (77.9)	48 (22.1)
Disinfecting the patients' upper arms before using the sphygmomanometers can reduce the transmission of microbes	190 (87.6)	27 (12.4)
Disinfecting the inner surface of BP cuffs can reduce or completely kill the microorganisms	191 (88)	26 (12)
Wearing of gloves by health care practitioners can reduce the transmission of microbes to/from patients and health care practitioners	208 (95.9)	9 (4.1)
Placing a thin sterile physical barrier between the patients' upper arm and the BP cuff can reduce transmission	165 (76)	52 (24)

In this study, (94%) of healthcare practitioners had good knowledge that sphygmomanometers are carriers of microorganisms. However, this knowledge did not correspond with their disinfection practice as only 19.8% of the healthcare practitioners claimed to disinfect the sphygmomanometers while 80.2% did not disinfect. The high microbial load observed on the blood pressure cuffs of the sphygmomanometers during the microbial isolation in the laboratory could be due to the fact that the healthcare providers who make use of the medical instrument did not actually engage in the disinfection of the sphygmomanometers. Among those who claimed to disinfect, 19.4% used 70% isopropyl alcohol. This finding was similar to that of Umegbolu (2019) in which 83.8% of the health workers (doctors and nurses) were aware that stethoscopes and sphygmomanometers can act as sources of nosocomial infections but only 5% of healthcare practitioners in the healthcare facilities investigated disinfected their sphygmomanometers. In another study by Sangita and Lokendra (2017) on bacteriological assessment of stethoscopes (non-critical medical devices) used by healthcare workers in a tertiary care center in Nepal, 96.7% of healthcare workers were aware that stethoscopes could transmit microorganisms from patient to patient. However, in their study,

72.1% (88 healthcare workers) reported cleaning their stethoscopes while in this study; majority had never disinfected their sphygmomanometers before. Also, in both studies, methylated spirit which is also known as isopropyl alcohol was the most commonly used disinfectant.

Regarding what the respondents do before handling the sphygmomanometers, 59.5% of respondents in Central hospital wear gloves while in University of Benin Teaching Hospital, 44.3% of respondents wash their hands, and in Community pharmacies, the majority (61.1%) said they do nothing, meaning they neither wear hand gloves nor wash their hands before handling the sphygmomanometers. This also buttress the fact that most of these healthcare practitioners are aware that sphygmomanometers harbour microorganisms but do not disinfect the instrument before using it on multiple patients. For this reason, more education and enlightenment should be done to enable the healthcare practitioners engage more in the disinfection of the instrument to reduce microbial load and by extension reduce the risk of transmission of nosocomial infections from patient to patient. Majority of the healthcare practitioners use the sphygmomanometers on 5-20 patients daily. In the hospital settings, 36.9% of respondents from Central hospital and 38.6% from UBTH have used the sphygmomanometer for 5years and above while in community pharmacies 38.9% of the respondents have used it for 1-2 years.

In Central hospital, 66.7% of respondents said they use the same Sphygmomanometer for patients with obvious skin infections and those without skin infections while in the community pharmacies and University of Benin Teaching Hospital, 52.8% and 61.4% respectively, claimed they don't use the same sphygmomanometer for both patients (p-value= 0.0002). This finding however, didn't reflect the practice on ground as most of the units visited only had one sphygmomanometer, they used in checking every patient that comes for medical consultation in the hospitals. This could literally translate to the fact that these healthcare providers use the same sphygmomanometer on both categories of patients. For instance, in the dermatology unit investigated in the University of Benin Teaching Hospital, there are patients with obvious skin infections and those whose skin infections have remitted but still come for follow-up, because of the limited number of sphygmomanometers, these patients are still checked with the same sphygmomanometers used for those with obvious skin infections.

The majority of the respondents do not take any precautionary measures to avert the transmission of microorganisms from infected to non-infected patients when using the sphygmomanometer (Table3).

Table 3: Comparison of Practice on the use of Sphygmomanometers in the different health care centers

Variable	Central Hospital	Community pharmacies	UBTH	P-value	
What do you do before you handle the sphygmomanometers?	Wash your hands	27(24.3)	2(5.6)	30(44.3)	<0.0001
	Wear hand gloves	66(59.5)	10(27.8)	17(24.3)	
	Dust your hands against your white coat	-	-	1(1.4)	
	Disinfect the blood pressure cuffs of the sphygmomanometers	4(3.6)	2(5.6)	2(2.9)	
	None	10(9.0)	22(61.1)	22(31.4)	
	Washing hands and wearing gloves	2(1.8)	-	-	
How many patients do you use the sphygmomanometer on daily	Less than 5	14(12.5)	5(13.9)	12(17.1)	<0.0001
	5 – 20	55(49.5)	26(72.2)	28(40.0)	
	21 – 40	33(29.7)	4(11.1)	13(18.6)	
	41 – 60	1(0.9)	1(2.8)	5(7.1)	
	61 and above	8(7.2)	-	12(17.1)	
How long have you been using the sphygmomanometer?	<6 months	12(10.8)	2(5.6)	13(18.5)	<0.0001
	6 months to 1 year	19(17.1)	3(8.3)	6(8.6)	
	1 – 2 years	14(12.6)	14(38.9)	8(11.4)	
	2 – 3 years	8(7.2)	6(16.7)	9(12.9)	
	3 – 4 years	17(15.3)	3(8.3)	7(10.0)	
	5 years and above	41(36.9)	8(22.2)	27(38.6)	
Do you use the same BP cuff on patients with an obvious skin infection and patients without skin infections?	Yes	74(66.7)	17(47.2)	27(38.5)	0.0002
	No	37(33.3)	19(52.7)	43(61.4)	
Do you adopt any precautionary measures as to avert transmission from infected to non-infected patients?	Yes	53(47.7)	18(50.0)	43(61.4)	
	No	58(52.3)	18(50.0)	27(38.6)	

What precautionary measure do you take to avert this transmission?				
Use a disposable BP cuff	11(9.9)	3(8.3)	8(11.4)	
Place a physical barrier between the patients' upper arm and the BP cuff	16(14.4)	5(13.9)	16(22.9)	
Disinfect the patients' upper arm before using the BP cuff on the patient	7(6.3)	5(13.9)	7(10.0)	
Use of hand sanitizer	29(26.1)	7(19.4)	16(22.9)	
None	48(43.2)	16(44.4)	23(32.9)	

On the disinfection practices of the respondents from the three healthcare facilities, the majority (75.0%, 81.4% and 84.7% respectively from Community pharmacies, UBTH and Central hospital) do not disinfect the sphygmomanometer before using it on patients. Also, majority of respondents had never disinfected the sphygmomanometer before in the course of their practice (55.6%, 71.4 and 72.1 from community pharmacies, UBTH and central respectively). For the minority who did disinfect, the commonly used disinfectant was 70% isopropyl alcohol. This finding was in line with a study by Agam *et al*(2018), out of the 62 health workers (doctors, nurses and medical students) who participated in the study, 53.22% had never disinfected their stethoscopes before and among those who disinfected, 86.2% used alcohol-based disinfectant while none of the respondents disinfected their stethoscopes after seeing each patient.

Lack of time and lack of access to disinfectants were the major barriers to disinfecting the blood pressure cuffs of sphygmomanometers (Table 4). However, lack of time shouldn't be a barrier to disinfecting the blood pressure cuffs of sphygmomanometers because from this study, disinfecting with 70% isopropyl alcohol and allowing it to stand for 10 minutes before using it on the patients was found to eliminate the microorganisms and in few cases drastically reduced the microbial load on the sphygmomanometers. This was in line with a study done by Jeyakumari *et al*, (2016) to investigate the bacterial colonization of sphygmomanometers. In their study, they found out that disinfecting the blood pressure cuffs with 70% isopropyl alcohol either reduced or eliminated the microorganisms present on the blood pressure cuffs. So, allocating 10 minutes every working day to disinfect the blood pressure cuffs before starting the day's work will go a long way in reducing the microbial loads on these sphygmomanometers and thus reduce the risk of transmission of nosocomial infections among patients.

Table 4: Comparison of disinfection practices of sphygmomanometers in the different healthcare facilities.

Variable	Central Hospital	Community pharmacies	UBTH	p-value
Do you disinfect the BP cuff before using it?				
Yes	17(15.3)	9(25.0)	13(18.6)	0.2012
No	94(84.7)	27(75.0)	57(81.4)	
When did you disinfect it last?				
Today	14(12.6)	2(5.6)	7(10.0)	0.0008
Yesterday	4(3.6)	1(2.8)	1(1.4)	
Last week	3(2.7)	2(5.6)	6(8.6)	
2 weeks ago,	3(2.7)	5(13.9)	2(2.9)	
Last month	7(6.3)	6(16.7)	4(5.7)	
Never disinfected before	80(72.1)	20(55.6)	50(71.4)	
How often do you disinfect				
After every use on a patient	13(11.7)	3(8.4)	6(8.5)	0.0421
After the day's activity	5(4.5)	2(5.6)	5(7.1)	
Weekly	4(3.6)	3(8.3)	4(5.7)	
Monthly	6(5.4)	7(19.4)	6(8.6)	
Never disinfected before	83(74.8)	19(52.8)	49(70.0)	
Disinfectant used				
70% Isopropyl alcohol	30(27.0)	10(27.8)	13(18.6)	
Hydrogen peroxide	3(2.7)	4(11.1)	4(5.7)	
Izal	-	-	-	
Wash with soap water	6(5.4)	2(5.6)	7(10.0)	
Hand sanitizer	2(1.8)	-	3(4.3)	
None	70(63.1)	20(55.6)	43(61.4)	
Which of these is a barrier to you for not disinfecting the blood pressure cuffs?				
Lack of time	43(38.7)	13(36.1)	20(28.5)	< 0.0001
Forgetfulness/laziness	5(4.5)	3(8.3)	3(4.3)	
Lack of knowledge regarding best disinfectant	6(5.4)	1(2.8)	11(15.7)	
Lack of access to disinfectants	26(23.4)	2(5.6)	14(20.0)	
Fear of damaging the sphygmomanometer	9(8.1)	7(19.4)	8(11.4)	
Sharing of sphygmomanometer	17(15.3)	1(2.8)	7(10.0)	
Ignorance of sphygmomanometer being a means of transmitting infections	7(6.3)	9(25.0)	6(8.6)	

FREQUENCY OF MICROBIAL ISOLATES IN THE DIFFERENT HEALTHCARE FACILITIES

In total, 93 bacteria and 107 fungi isolates were identified from the three healthcare facilities. There was microbial growth in 57 (89.1%) sphygmomanometers and in 7 (10.9%) sphygmomanometers there was no growth. Potentially pathogenic strains isolated were *Candida* species 42 (21.0%) *Staphylococcus aureus* 41(20.5%) of which 28 (68.3%) were methicillin-resistant, *Mucor* 34(17.0%), *Aspergillus* species 23(11.5%), *Bacillus* species,18(9.0%), *Coagulase Negative Staphylococcal* species 14(7.0%). Other organisms isolated were *Bacteriodes* species, *Pseudomonas* species, *Citrobacter* species, *Klebsiella* species, *Escherichia coli*, *Penicillium* species, *Trichophyton* species, and *Cryptococcus*

species all of which accounted for 14.0% as shown in the table below. The most commonly isolated bacteria were *Staphylococcus aureus* while candida species were the most frequent fungi isolated. The inner surfaces of the blood pressure cuffs of the sphygmomanometers were more contaminated than the outer surfaces. This was similar to the findings of the study carried out by Baruah *et al.*, (2008) in which the inner surfaces were also more contaminated than the outer surfaces. It was also found that the 70% isopropyl alcohol was effective in either reducing the microbial load or eliminating the microorganisms on the blood pressure cuffs.

Bacteria, fungi, viruses, parasites are all responsible for health care associated infections, however, bacteria have been found to be the most implicated (Hassan *et al*, 2017). In this particular study, on the overall, fungi were the most isolated organisms accounting for 53.5%. Among the bacteria isolated, *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most isolated which was in line with studies done by Fitsum *et al.* (2019), on non-critical healthcare tools as a potential source of healthcare-acquired bacterial infections and Uneke and Ijeoma (2011) , in which thermometers and blood pressure cuffs used in different units of the hospital were investigated for microbial growth.

The table 5 below showed the overall microorganisms isolated; with *Staphylococcus aureus*,

Bacillus species, *Coagulase Negative Staphylococcal* species, *Bacteriodes* species, *Pseudomonas* species, *Citrobacter* species, *Klebsiella* species and *Escherichia coli* being the bacteria isolated and *Candida* species, *Mucor*, *Aspergillus* species, *Penicillum* species, *Trichophyton* species, *Cryptococcus* species were the fungi isolated. However, the organisms isolated from this study and those of other studies cited (Baruah *et al.*, 2008, Uneke and Ijeoma 2011, Umegbolu 2019). varied from one healthcare facility to the other. This could be attributed to variation in geographical location, the way the sphygmomanometers are being handled by the different healthcare practitioners and also the healthcare environment in which the studies were conducted.

Table 5: Overall Frequency of microbial isolates in the three healthcare facilities

Organisms isolated	Frequency	Percentage
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	41	20.5
<i>Bacillus</i> species	18	9.0
<i>Coagulase Negative</i>	14	7.0
<i>Staphylococcal</i> species		
<i>Bacteriodes</i> species	8	4.0
<i>Pseudomonas</i> species	7	3.5
<i>Citrobacter</i> species	3	1.5
<i>Klebsiella</i> species	1	0.5
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	1	0.5
<i>Candida</i> species	42	21
<i>Mucor</i>	34	17
<i>Aspergillus</i> species	23	11.5
<i>Penicillium</i> species	5	2.5
<i>Trichophyton</i> species	2	1.0
<i>Cryptococcus</i> species	1	0.5
Total	200	100

CONCLUSION

A high proportion of the respondents had good knowledge that the blood pressure cuffs can play a role in the spread of infections. However, they did not engage in good disinfection practice of the sphygmomanometers. Thus, more education and enlightenment should be done to enable the healthcare practitioners engage more in the disinfection of the instrument to reduce microbial load and by extension reduce the risk of transmission of nosocomial infections. This study has also confirmed that most of the sphygmomanometers used in the investigated healthcare facilities were contaminated with pathogenic organisms that are implicated in healthcare-associated infections as the organisms isolated are found to cause serious systemic infections that require the hospitalization of the patients to effectively treat.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My gratitude goes to the Head of Department, Pharmaceutical Microbiology, University of Benin; Dr. (Mrs.) U.F. Babaiwa for her laboratory support. To the laboratory technologist, Mr. Aisagbonbuomwan Wilfred, you are indeed

one in a million, thank you so much for bringing your expertise to the table. I learnt so much from you during this period. God bless you immensely sir.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES

- Agam B, Sarath R.S, Bhavna D.B, Kajal Gupta, Shashank P (2018). To Assess the Stethoscope Cleaning Practices, Microbial Load and Efficacy of Cleaning Stethoscopes with Alcohol-based Disinfectant in a Tertiary Care Hospital. *Journal of Infection Prevention* 20(1):46-50.
- Azeez-Akande, O. (2012). Emerging and Re-Emerging Infectious Agents of Nosocomial Diseases-The Need for Review of Hospital Policy and Control Strategies. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*. 5(2): 19-25.<http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/bajopas.v5i2.3>
- Baruah J.K, Gratrix A, Dibb W and Madeo M (2008). Blood pressure cuffs as a potential fomite for transmission of pathogenic micro-organisms: A prospective study in a university teaching hospital. *British Journal of Infection Control*, 9 (4):20
- Bernward R and Gabriele H (1980). *Introducing Mycology by Examples*. Berlin: Schering A.G, 140pp.
- Fitsum W, Desalegn A, Dereje M, and Mulatu A (2019). Non-critical healthcare tools as a potential source of healthcare-acquired bacterial infections in eastern Ethiopia: A hospital-based cross-sectional study. *Sage Open Medicine*,7: 1–10 DOI: 10.1177/2050312118822627
- Hassan A.K, Fatima K.B, Riffat M (2017). Nosocomial infections: Epidemiology, Prevention, Control and Surveillance. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, 7(5):478-482.
- Jeyakumari D, Nagajothi S, Praveen Kumar R, Ilayaperumal G. and Vigneshwaran S. (2016) Bacterial colonization of blood pressure cuff: A potential Source of Pathogenic Organism: A Prospective study in a teaching hospital. *International Journal of Medical and Health Research*, 2(6): 35-37.
- Matsuo M, Oie S, Furukawa H (2013). Contamination of Blood Pressure Cuffs by Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and Preventive Measures. *Irish Journal of Medical Science*, 182:707–709 DOI 10.1007/s11845-013-0961-7
- Miles A.A, Misra S.S, Irwin J.O, (1938). The Estimation of the Bactericidal power of the Blood. *The Journal of Hygiene*.38(6):732-749. Retrieved on the 4th November 2019 org>wiki <https://en.m.wikipedia>
- Monk S. (2010). Disposable Blood Pressure Cuffs: You cannot afford to use them. *Sun Tech Med White Paper* 1-2.
- Robert A.W and Rabih O.D. (2001). Device- Associated Infections: A Macro Problem that starts with Micro adherence. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 33(9):1567-1572.

Sangita T. and Lokendra B.S(2017). Bacteriological assessment of stethoscopes used by healthcare workers in a tertiary care Centre of Nepal. *Biomed Central Research Notes* 10:353 DOI 10.1186/s13104-017-2677-7. Available at:www.biomedcentral.com

Tagoe DNA, Baidoo S.E, Agede C. (2011). Potential Sources or Transmission of Healthcare Associated Infections in the Volta Regional Hospital in Ghana. *Ghana Medical Journal* 45(1):22-26.

Umegbolu Emmanuel I. (2019). The Roles of Stethoscopes and Sphygmomanometers in Hospital-Acquired Infections: A Case Study of some District Hospitals in Enugu State, Southeast Nigeria. *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*,7(5):1686-1691 DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20191659>

Uneke C.J. and Ijeoma P.A. (2011). The Potential for Transmission of Hospital-Acquired Infections by Non-critical Medical Devices: The Role of Thermometers and Blood Pressure Cuffs. *World Health and Population* 12(3):6-12.

World Health Organization (2011). Report on the Burden of Endemic Health Care-Associated Infection Worldwide. World Health Organization.

<https://apps.who.int/handle/10665/80135>